Definitions:

NAC: North American Coal / Coteau PSC: ND Public Service Commission

Eisenbeis: Clyde Eisenbeis

Farmland: T146N R88W S34 NW1/4 (north of Beulah, ND)

THE COTEAU Farm PROPERTIES COMPANY

A SUBSIDIARY OF THE NORTH AMERICAN COAL CORPORATION

2000 Schafer Street, Suite D Bismarck, ND 58501-1204

(701) 258-2200 • Fax (701) 222-7594 Toll-Free 1-877-258-3300

This is the **first time NAC** acknowledged receiving the **Eisenbeis** written authorization for installing the approach to the **Farmland**. Prior to this, **NAC** claimed they did not receive the **Eisenbeis** written authorization.

These written agreement contain this statement: "... agrees to fully indemnify and hold harmless Coteau against any and all claims, losses, expenses, actions, causes of action and liability of whatsoever kind or nature arising from the use or existence of said approach and diversion."

This contradicts the topsoil on the Farmland, the PSC photos, and the PSC reports.

Eisenbeis views this as a threat.

Clyde Eisenbeis 2819 Hogan Drive Bismarck, ND 58503

July 5, 2018

Delivered via E-mail to cte677@gmail.com

RE: Township 146 North, Range 88 West Section 34: NW¹/₄ Mercer County, North Dakota

Dear Mr. Eisenbeis:

Eisenbeis did respond. On 15 Sep 2017, Eisenbeis sent an email to PSC staff and to NAC staff: Sarah J Flath, Brad Erickson, and Christopher Friez. That email included, "I have provided North American Coal with the written authorization to install the approach at the location shown to me ... see attachment. What else is needed?". NAC did not respond.

The Coteau Properties Company (hereinafter "Coteau"), is in receipt of the e-mail you sent to Sarah Flath, and several other individuals, on June 20, 2018.

In response to the questions posed in your e-mail, we did receive your May 1, 2017, September 15, 2017 and October 10, 2017 e-mail messages. We also received your referenced "written authorization." However, these e-mails, and your "written authorization" were not sufficient written documents to satisfy the terms between the parties. This is why we provided you with a written agreement, which was provided for the first time on May 19, 2017. You did not respond to Coteau but rather to the North Dakota Public Service Commission ("PSC"), and did not identify specific issues related to the agreement. Much later, on September 15, 2017, you again sent an e-mail to the PSC and indicated the agreement included items that were not relevant. But again, you did not communicate directly to Coteau nor did you provide any suggestions for changes. We strongly disagree with your assertion that the agreement includes items that are not relevant. Please understand that the first step in any action surrounding this approach required your signature on that agreement. We again provided the agreement to you in a letter dated September 22, 2017 and offered to install an approach. As Coteau did not receive a positive response to that offer, the offer lapsed on October 23, 2017, as noted in the letter.

Coteau has not caused any damage to your land and we are losing patience with these continued baseless allegations against us, which are most often not made directly to us, but through e-mails sent to the PSC. We are also disappointed in the waste of public resources by continued inclusion of the PSC in what is at best a private matter between you and Coteau. We have yet to see anything but broad and baseless allegations and are growing tired of these continued provocations. Coteau is always willing to listen but cannot respond specifically to broad allegations with no evidentiary background. Please understand that should these actions continue in this manner we will be forced to identify all of our available legal options. Rather than doing that, we would prefer that you contact us directly at any time so that we could meet to discuss any direct, reasonable concerns you may have.

This is like a robber telling a victim that this is a private matter should not involve the police.

NDCC and NDAC makes this a "North Dakota law" matter which makes this a "PSC matter". Before NAC puts a shovel into the ground, NAC must obtain a permit and follow North Dakota law.

Why did NAC block access to the farmland in 2012? NAC did not ask me for authorization to deepen the road ditch. NAC did not ask Mercer County for authorization to deepen the road ditch. NAC deepened the road ditch without authorization from anyone. Now NAC wants authorization from me to build an approach?

Sincerely,

Christopher D. Friez Land Manager

cc: Sarah Flath Brad Erickson